## Faculty Applicant Interview Evaluation

**Department of Computer and Information Science**

Applicant Name: Lei Guo  
Date of Evaluation: __/__/____

Evaluator: ____________________________

(Source of evaluation: Colloquium, individual interviews, CV, statement of teaching, publications record)

### Research Area
- [ ] Challenging
- [ ] New Area
- [ ] Theoretical
- [ ] Ordinary

### Potential for Research Funding
- [ ] Excellent
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Fair
- [ ] Weak

### Quality of Research Work
- [ ] Outstanding
- [ ] Very good
- [ ] Average
- [ ] Fair
- [ ] Poor

### Publications Record
- [ ] Excellent
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Average
- [ ] Weak

### Level of Research
- [ ] On par with Ph.D. level
- [ ] Below par with Ph.D. level
- [ ] At Master’s level

### Research Collaboration Potential
- [ ] Excellent
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Fair
- [ ] Poor

### Research Compatible with CIS Objectives
- [ ] Definitely
- [ ] Somewhat
- [ ] Not at all

### Colloquium Talk
- [ ] Interesting
- [ ] Boring
- [ ] Logical
- [ ] Confusing

### Teaching Experience
- [ ] Excellent
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Average
- [ ] Weak
- [ ] None

### Presentation
- [ ] Well organized
- [ ] Poorly organized
- [ ] Answered questions confidently
- [ ] Answered questions poorly

### Colloquium
- [ ] Interesting
- [ ] Boring
- [ ] Logical
- [ ] Confusing

### Collegiality
- [ ] Exceptionally well accepted
- [ ] Works well with others
- [ ] Satisfactory
- [ ] Difficulty
- [ ] I would enjoy working with candidate

### Motivation for Coming to UMD
- [ ] Very interested
- [ ] Somewhat interested
- [ ] Appears indifferent
- [ ] Testing “employment waters”

### Teaching Experience
- [ ] Excellent
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Average
- [ ] Weak
- [ ] None

### Judgment
- [ ] Exceptionally mature
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Average
- [ ] Exhibits poor judgment

### Attitude
- [ ] Positive
- [ ] Negative
- [ ] Indifferent/Neutral

### Evaluator’s Comments:

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Rating</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Minus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________

Evaluator’s Comments: ______________________________________________________